Numerical prediction of the flow rate through a flow meter with uncertain inflow profile
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Abstract - Flow conditions in industrial pipe and district heating systems are not ideal. Moreover nearly all types of flow measurement devices are sensitive to flow conditions at their inlet section. In this contribution the generalized polynomial chaos method (gPC) is used to study the influence of a disturbed inlet profile with swirl and asymmetry components through a simple beam ultrasonic flow meter. This approach allows to estimate the expected variations of the measured volume flow as a function of the position and orientation of the modeled meter in an efficient way.
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1. Introduction

Industrial pipe and district heating systems consist of assemblies of bends, headers, convergent or divergent pipe sections. Among the different pipe combinations, double bends are of special interest, since they introduce strong variations of the measured meter in an efficient way.

2. Generalized Polynomial Chaos

Generalized polynomial chaos (gPC) plays an important role when dealing with uncertainties [5, 4]. The approach was introduced by N. Wiener [11] and was generalized in [13]. The idea is to expand random variables with a finite second moment in a series of orthogonal polynomials. In contrast to standard Monte-Carlo type methods, the advantage of this approach lies in its speed of performance. In this section we briefly review the gPC method, see [1, 6].

Let \((\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)\) be a probability space consisting of a \(\sigma\)-algebra \(\mathcal{F}\) over the event space \(\Omega\) and an associated probability measure \(P\). Independent random variables \(\xi = (\xi_i)_{i=1}^m, m \in \mathbb{N}\), mapping from \((\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)\) to \((\mathbb{R}^m, \mathcal{B}^m, \mu)\) are considered, where \(\mathcal{B}\) denotes the Borel \(\sigma\)-algebra and \(\mu\) denotes the range measure of \(\xi\). Assuming that \(\mathcal{F}\) is generated by \(\xi\), every squared integrable mapping \(g : (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^N, \mathcal{B}^N, \mu)\) can be expanded in series of orthogonal polynomials

\[
g(\xi) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \hat{g}_i \Psi_i(\xi),
\]

where \((\Psi_i)_{i=0}^{\infty}\) is a family of polynomials, which are orthogonal with respect to the weighted inner product

\[
\langle \Psi_i, \Psi_j \rangle_w := \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \Psi_i(s) \Psi_j(s) w(s) ds, \quad i \neq j,
\]

with positive weight function \(w\). For simplicity we assume orthonormality: \(\langle \Psi_i, \Psi_j \rangle_w = \delta_{ij}\) for \(i \neq j\), where \(\delta_{ij}\) denotes the Kronecker delta function, i.e., \(\delta_{ij} = 1\) if \(i = j\) and \(\delta_{ij} = 0\) if \(i \neq j\). The family of the orthogonal polynomials and the probability density function of \(\xi\) is given by \(\hat{g}_i = \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \hat{g}_s\) and the orthogonality of the ansatz, the expectation \(\mathbb{E}(g)\) and the variance \(\mathbb{V}(g)\) are given by

\[
\mathbb{E}(g) = \hat{g}_0,
\]

\[
\mathbb{V}(g) = \mathbb{E}(g^2) - \mathbb{E}(g)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \hat{g}_i^2.
\]
The gPC expansion of a random variable can be used to express the solution of an abstract physical system
\[ L(g(x,ξ),ξ) = 0, \quad x \in D \subset \mathbb{R}^d, \quad d \in \mathbb{N}. \]
Due to the randomness of \( ξ \), the solution of \( L \) becomes a random field over the probability space \((\mathbb{R}^m, B^m, P_ξ)\) rather than a solution over the spatial space \( D \). Exploiting the orthogonality of the ansatz functions, the modes \( \hat{g}_i \) of the series expansion are given by
\[ \hat{g}_i(x) = (g(x,·), Ψ_i)_w, \quad i = 1, \ldots, \infty. \]
In practical computations, the degree \( d \) of the polynomials has to be restricted, which leads to an approximation
\[ g(ξ) = \sum_{i=0}^{p} \hat{g}_i Ψ_i(ξ), \quad p + 1 = \frac{(d + m)!}{d!m!}, \]
for the series \((1)\). Note that the series increases very fast with the polynomial degree \( d \) and the dimension of the random space \( m \). The convergence of the truncated series \((3)\) mainly depends on the polynomial approximation of the solution of the operator \( L \) with respect to \( ξ \). In many applications, there exists a well tested and robust numerical solver for the operator \( L \).

Therefore, if the projection \( \hat{g}_i \) of \( g \) onto \( Ψ_i \) in \((1)\) is approximated by a cubature rule
\[ \hat{g}_i(x) \approx \sum_{j=0}^{q} g(x,ξ^j)Ψ_i(ξ^j)λ^j, \quad q \in \mathbb{N}, \]
with nodes \((ξ^j)^q_{j=1}\) and weights \((λ^j)^q_{j=1}\), the deterministic solver can still be used for the evaluation of the problem at the nodal points. Here the natural choice is a tensor Gauss cubature formula with suitable weights depending on the weight function \( w \) of the orthogonal polynomials.

3. Numerical modeling

The stationary incompressible Navier-Stokes equations modeling conservation of mass and momentum are given by
\[ \nabla \cdot u = 0, \quad u \cdot \nabla u = -\frac{1}{ρ} \nabla p + ν\Delta u. \]
Here, \( u = (u_r, u_φ, u_z)^T \) is the velocity field with radial, angular, and axial component, \( p \) the pressure, \( ρ \) the density of the fluid, and \( ν \) its kinematic viscosity. Note that the positions in the pipe geometry are described by cylindrical coordinates \((r, φ, z)\). We prescribe standard no-slip boundary conditions on the walls and a zero-gradient boundary condition at the outlet. At the inlet a fully developed profile with associated turbulence data is used as natural inflow boundary condition. The turbulent flow of water in a pipe of diameter \( D = 55 \text{ mm} \) is considered. The volumetric velocity is given by \( U_{vol} = 4.19 \text{ m s}^{-1} \), which corresponds to a Reynolds number of about \( Re = 3 \cdot 10^5 \).

Fig. 1. Top: the generated O-grid at the inlet, bottom: geometric set up of the test case: an out-of-plane double bend with a radius of 1.5D along the centerline and fully developed inflow conditions.

The numerical simulations of the turbulent flow through such a pipe are performed by ANSYS CFX in three dimensions. Turbulence is modeled by Reynolds-averaging the Navier-Stokes equations \((5)\) and applying the well-known standard \( k-ω \)-model, see \([12]\). For the simulations a hexahedral mesh consisting of 3.2 million elements with a non-dimensional wall distance \( y^+ \approx 1 \) is used. The mesh was created using the O-grid technique with the tool ANSYS ICEMCFD, see Figure 1 at the top.

4. Measurement principle

Several types of ultrasonic flow meters (USFM) exist on the market. The two main measurement principles are the Doppler and the travel-time techniques. Here, the dual sensor travel-time technique will be discussed. This principle is depicted in Figure 2.

Two sensors \((A \text{ and } B)\) are situated inside or outside the pipe wall. Each sensor is sending and receiving ultrasonic impulses that are propagated through the fluid.
The time between sending and receiving from one to the other sensor is measured. It can be written as:

\[ t_{AB} = \frac{L}{C + \bar{U}_p/e_z}, t_{BA} = \frac{L}{C - \bar{U}_p/e_z} \]

where \( C \) denotes the speed of sound in the fluid, \( \bar{U}_p \) is the mean velocity along the ultrasonic path and \( e_z = \cos(\alpha) \) the \( z \)-component of the unit vector \( e \) tangential to the path. The measurement value \( \bar{U}_p \) is determined by the difference of the times \( t_{AB} \) and \( t_{BA} \):

\[ \bar{U}_p = \frac{L}{2e_z} \left( \frac{1}{t_{AB}} - \frac{1}{t_{BA}} \right). \]

More complex models can be derived such as ray theory path, where the trails of the ultrasonic waves are also depending on the flow conditions. The considered straight line wave propagation is suitable for Mach numbers < 0.1, as mentioned in [16]. Since the mean velocity of a path is not the same as the mean velocity over the cross section, \( \bar{U}_p \) has to be multiplied by a calibration factor \( k = U_{vol}/\bar{U}_p \), see [2]. The real flow profile in a pipe system is unknown, therefore the ratio \( k \) is calculated for a fully developed flow profile. If the flow profile is disturbed particularly not rotationally symmetric, the assumption for \( k \) is violated, which depicts the first source of error. The second one is caused by non axial/cross velocity components, because the ultrasonic impulse is not only driven by the axial velocity component \( u_z \). The angle \( \alpha \) (practically) cannot be zero, thus the angular cross component \( u_r \) is also affecting the measurement value. The measured velocity is then assembled by the scalar product \( eu \) with the normalized direction vector

\[ e = \begin{pmatrix} \sin(\alpha) & 0 & \cos(\alpha) \end{pmatrix}. \]

Since the axial velocity component \( u_z \) is solely inducing the flow rate, an error of the measurement value is expected by huge cross components, such as flows with swirl which occur downstream an out of plane double bend.

5. Application of gPC to flow measurements

In the following, the approach of Section 2 is used to study the influence of a disturbed inflow profile through a non-intrusive single beam ultrasonic meter, which is highly dependent on the flow profile, see [8]. The introduced measurement principle of a single beam ultrasonic meter is modeled in the CFD system. Due to its behavior, the asymmetry and swirl of the velocity profile lead to errors on the measurement values. In order to characterize the influence of the flow profile on the measured volume flow, the location and the angle of the modeled meter is assumed to be random. Thus two uniformly distributed random variables are introduced modeling the distance to the bends \( dz = z/D \in [0, 100] \) and the angle \( \Phi \in [0, 2\pi] \) of the meter. The axial angle \( \alpha \) is fixed by \( \pi/4 \), see Figure 2 and Figure 3.

In order to calculate the modes (2), we use a tensor Legendre-Gauss quadrature rule. The modeled meter is placed at the positions and angles given by the nodes of the cubature rule 4.

6. Results

The development of the velocity profile downstream of an out-of-plane double bend was simulated. The profile has a varying asymmetric shape with swirl which decreases further downstream, see Figure 9. Right after the bend we see a typical sickle-shaped profile. The iso-surface representing the maximum velocity rotates in the flow due to the swirl introduced by the double bend. Further downstream, the profile with the varying asymmetric shape and swirl decreases, see Figure 3 bottom. Such a strongly disturbed (swirl and asymmetry) profile is common in most field installations. The swirl angle is defined by

\[ S_\alpha = \arctan \left( \frac{\sqrt{u_r^2 + u_\phi^2}}{U_{vol}} \right). \]

Fig. 3. The random alignment of the circumferential angle \( \Phi \) of the ultrasonic sensors

compare [13]. It represents a measure for the deviation of the velocity vector to the ideal axial direction. The decay of the swirl angle over the position in axial direction downstream the double bend is depicted in Figure 5. In a downstream distance of 40\( D \) we still have a swirl angle of 5.5°.

The expected error of the measured flow rate \( Q_m \) with random position and random angular alignment of the USFM, compared to the real flow rate \( Q_{real} \) is calculated to be \(-1.42\% \). Since the relative error is given by 100 \( (Q_m - Q_{real})/Q_{real} \) a negative sign denotes that the measurement value is lower than the real value. This value does not seem very high at first glance. A look at the deviation over the distance shows an asymptotic approximation of the expected error from \(-4\%\) towards zero. The standard deviation resulting from the variation of the angle \( \Phi \) on each position is decreasing from 2.5% to 0.5%. The maximum error reaches more than \(-10\%\) in about 10 diameters distance and still depicts \(-6\%\) in a distance of 40\( D \).

In Figure 6 the expected error of the volume flow measured by an ultrasonic single beam over the position of the beam further downstream the double bend is shown. The red error bars represent the standard deviation for
the angle of the beam. The blue error bars depict the minimum and maximum difference to the expectation value obtained for the different angles. The considered single path ultrasonic measurement is decreasingly sensitive to the disturbed profile. Moreover if the measurement is done closely behind the double bend, it is strongly influenced by the angle.

Fig. 6. Development of the expected error (cyan), its standard deviation (red) and the maximum deviation (blue) of a simulated single beam ultrasonic measurement over its position downstream a double bend

7. Conclusion

In this contribution the measurement uncertainty of a single beam ultrasonic flow meter caused by a disturbed flow profile which are usually present under field conditions is considered. The uncertainty was characterized by its mean
and variance. We emphasize the importance to consider disturbed profiles rather than ideal flow profiles for flow measurements.

Moreover, it was demonstrated that gPC is an efficient approach to treat flow problems with random input parameters. Due to its connection to generalized Fourier series, it is easy to apply. The drawback of the method is the curse of dimensionality especially when dealing with problems in a high-dimensional random space. However in the case of computationally expensive problems arising in CFD, the gPC approach is an efficient alternative to the Monte Carlo approach.
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